APPENDIX I.

[Vide answer to starred question No. 19 on page 153]

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Copy of the circular is appended.

(*c*) There has been no deviation.

(*d*) Does not arise.

APPENDIX.

Memorandum No. 25779/E2-60, dated 6th October 1960.

Circuar No. 28 of 1960.

From the Transport Commissioner, Chepauk, Madras, addressed to all Regional Transport Authorities.

[SUBJECT.—*Motor vehicles—stage carriages—Opening of new routes and variation of existing routes—Further instructions—Issued. Reference—This office Circular No. 25/56 (Memorandum No. 27323/E4/56, dated 5th July* 1956).]

In the reference cited, the Regional Transport Officers were instructed to discourage, as far as possible, applications for variations of existing routes if the distance covered by the variation is appreciable—say ordinarily exceeding 5 to 10 miles. Several cases were noticed in which variations involving extension were being allowed piecemeal at frequent intervals thereby circumventing the above instructions. In order to obviate this and with a view to discourage frequent variations, it is considered that there should be a time limit set between one variation and another.

2. The Regional Transport Authorities are, therefore, informed that frequent variation of permits shall be avoided and that the interval between one variation and another in respect of the route covered by a permit shall not be less than 3 years except where the combined length of the extensions involved in the variations does not exceed 10 to 12 miles.

APPENDIX II.

[Vide item IV (1) on page 160 .]

(L. A. Bill No. 6 of 1963 as passed by the Assembly)

A Bill to provide for the constitution of a Home Guard in the State of Madras.

BE it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Madras in the Fourteenth Year of the Republic of India as follows :—

1. *Short title, extent and commencement.*—(1) This Act may be called the Madras Home Guard Act, 1963.

(2) It extends to the whole of the State of Madras.

(3) It shall come into force at once.